

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 526 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

NIRANJAN A PANDYA

Versus

DISTRICT PANCHAYAT

Appearance:

MR PV HATHI for Petitioner
MR PM RAVAL for Respondent No. 1
MS DARSHANA PANDIT, ASSTT.GOVERNMENT PLEADER
for Respondent No. 3, 4

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

Date of decision: 22/09/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

When this petition is called out for final hearing, the learned Counsel for the petitioner points out that the petitioner has expired on 24th August, 1993. In this view of the matter, the petition has abated. Rule is therefore discharged with no order as to costs. Ad-interim relief stands vacated. This will be without

prejudice to any rights of the heirs to get dues if any,
in accordance with law.

*/Mohandas